

**WASHINGTON STATE BOUNDARY REVIEW BOARD FOR KING COUNTY**  
**SPECIAL MEETING AND PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES**  
**CITY OF ISSAQUAH KLAHANIE AREA ANNEXATION (FILE NO. 2191)**  
**JUNE 01, 2005**

The following Minutes are a summary of the Special Meeting/Public Hearing for the City of Issaquah Klahanie Area Annexation (File No. 2191). Complete written transcripts are available from the Boundary Review Board.

**I. CALL TO ORDER**

Judy Tessandore, Chair, convened the meeting of June 01, 2005 at 7:00 P.M.

**II. ROLL CALL**

The following members were present at the Special Meeting and Public Hearing:

Angela Brooks

Charles Booth

Robert Cook

Jim Denton

Lynn Guttman

Claudia Hirschey

Roger Loschen

Michael Marchand

**III. PUBLIC HEARING**

**INTRODUCTION:**

Chair Tessandore stated that the purpose of the Special Meeting is to conduct a Public Hearing on the Klahanie Area Annexation, a proposal submitted by the City of Issaquah to the Boundary Review Board in March 2005. The Boundary Review Board is charged with the task of providing independent review and decision-making with respect to applications for municipal annexation.

Chair Tessandore described the standards for a quasi-judicial public hearing and the scope of the hearing. She explained the process for taking public testimony. Chair Tessandore opened the public hearing.

Chair Tessandore and Lenora Blauman described the proposed annexation as follows:

On March 22, 2005 the proponent, the City of Issaquah, filed an application for the Klahanie Annexation with the Boundary Review Board. The City of Issaquah is proposing to annex 1242 acres including the planned community of Klahanie, together with several neighboring suburban residential communities: Glenwood, Summer Meadows, Summer Pond, Rainbow Lake Ranch, Brookshire Estates, Brookshire Crest, Brookshire East, Autumn Glen, Hunters' Ridge and Livingston.

The Klahanie Annexation Area is located on the northeastern edge of the City of Issaquah. The Annexation Area is generally bordered on the west by the City of Issaquah and the City of Sammamish (Issaquah-Pine Lake Road). The Annexation Area is bordered on the east and the south by King County (Issaquah-Fall City Road; SE 48<sup>th</sup> Street.) The City of Sammamish borders the site on the north (SE 32<sup>nd</sup> Way.)

The Klahanie Area is primarily developed with residential uses – including single-family and multi-family dwellings. There is vacant and redevelopable land within the Klahanie Area. There is some limited commercial property within Klahanie Area boundaries.

The Klahanie Area Annexation is proposed based upon a Resolution by the Issaquah City Council approving a plan to incorporate this territory via an Interlocal Agreement with King County. This Resolution was approved on February 7, 2005.

With the annexation application based upon the Resolution, the City of Issaquah has invoked jurisdiction (submitted a request for a public hearing) before the Boundary Review Board. The City is seeking a public hearing in order to provide an opportunity for Klahanie citizens to comment upon the proposed annexation.

Further, the City of Issaquah Resolution calls for an election to permit the citizens of the Klahanie Area to ultimately decide whether or not to join the City of Issaquah.

\*\*\*

Based upon the City of Issaquah's invoking of jurisdiction, the Boundary Review Board scheduled this public hearing to review the proposed basic Klahanie Area Annexation.

Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Boundary Review Board will deliberate and make a decision with respect to the Klahanie Area Annexation. The Board will consider the state, regional, and local regulations which guide annexations. The Board can approve the Klahanie Area Annexation as proposed by the City of Issaquah. The Board can modify the Klahanie Area Annexation. The Board can deny the entire Klahanie Area Annexation.

\*\*\*

PROPONENT PRESENTATION: CITY OF ISSAQUAH:

COUNCILMEMBER FRED BUTLER, (MAYOR PRO TEM)  
MARK HINTHORNE – PLANNING DIRECTOR;  
TOM NESBITT, CONSULTANT

At the Special Meeting/Public Hearing of June 01, 2005, Fred Butler, Mayor Pro Tem, presented the proposed Klahanie Area Annexation. Mark Hinthorne, Planning Director, and Tom Nesbitt, Consultant also presented information concerning the proposed action. Councilmember Butler, Mr. Hinthorne, and Mr. Nesbitt also responded to inquiries by the Boundary Review Board members. Following is a summary of the statement (including responses to inquiries).

Councilmember Butler reported that the City of Issaquah proposes the annexation of 1242 acres, known as the Klahanie Area Annexation. This annexation was proposed under a Resolution based upon an Interlocal Agreement, pursuant to RCW 35A.14. The Issaquah City Council adopted the Resolution for annexation in February 2005.

The City is proposing the Klahanie Area annexation in order to permit citizens to participate in local governance by the City of Issaquah, to develop properties under City of Issaquah regulations and to receive public services (e.g., sewer services) from Issaquah. Presently the Klahanie Area is substantially developed with residential uses, including single-family homes and multi-family dwellings. Approximately 11,118 people reside in the Klahanie Area.

A small commercial area and several public uses are also located within the boundaries of the proposed Klahanie Area Annexation.

Councilmember Butler reported that the Klahanie Area Annexation is proposed under a framework established through an Interlocal Agreement between the City of Issaquah and King County. The Interlocal Agreement – which is slated for formal adoption in July 2005 – establishes a commitment by the County to provide the City of Issaquah funding in the amount of \$850,000 for facilitation of transition of service responsibilities from King County to the City of Issaquah and \$1.1 million for roadway improvements (i.e., Issaquah-Fall City Road). In return, the City of Issaquah shall make a commitment to complete annexation of the Klahanie Area by no later than June, 2006. By December of 2006, the City must also assume ownership of parks, surface water management facilities, ground water facilities, and other similar facilities.

The City of Issaquah determined that the Klahanie Area Annexation, based upon the pending Interlocal Agreement, would be an appropriate, timely action based upon the following factors:

- The Klahanie Area is addressed in the "Land Use Element" of the City of Issaquah Comprehensive Plan. The Plan was established in 1995. Under the Plan, the Klahanie Area was identified, mapped, and analyzed for incorporation in February 2004.

- The proposed Klahanie Area Annexation would be consistent with the City of Issaquah Land Use Policies), including those provisions relating to land development, service provision, and mutual social and economic benefits. Annexation would permit the City of Issaquah to establish land use designations and zoning standards for Klahanie.

The City proposes residential designations, commercial designations, and open space designations that are essentially equivalent to those designations established by King County for the Klahanie Area. More specifically, the County permits a range of development from four dwelling units per acre (R-4) to 18 dwelling units per acre (R-18). The City proposes a designation of Residential Uses (Low Density Single-Family Residential and Multi-Family Residential). Proposed zoning would be Single-Family Suburban (4.5 dwelling units per acre – 7.26 dwelling units per acre); and Multi-Family Medium (14.52 dwelling units per acre).

- King County Comprehensive Plan/Countywide Planning Policies support the Klahanie Area Annexation. For example, Countywide Planning policies encourage cities to annex territory within their designated potential annexation area. Other policies establish cities as the appropriate units to govern, develop, and serve Urban Areas.

Of key importance are the King County Comprehensive Plan policies that call for King County to work with cities to jointly develop pre-annexation agreements to address transition of services from county to cities (U-207) and for the County shall implement policies for Potential Annexation Areas through interlocal agreements (RP-202)

- The State Growth Management Act (RCW 36.70A) supports the Klahanie Area Annexation. For example, the proposed action would be consistent with RCW 36.70.20 which calls for community planning goals, for urban growth, services and infrastructure, and environmental preservation. Additionally, the application reportedly is consistent with RCW 36.70A.020 (1), encouraging development in urban areas where there are adequate public services.

The Klahanie Area Annexation is also consistent with RCW 36.70A.020 (12), which calls for public services to support permitted development. More specifically:

- As established by RCW 36.70A, upon annexation of the Klahanie Area, the City is prepared to provide development review services and general administrative services to the annexation area under local, regional, and state standards. At present Klahanie is substantially developed, so that only limited new development and/or redevelopment is likely to occur in the Area.
- As established by RCW 36.70A, upon annexation the Klahanie Area will be governed by the City of Issaquah's critical areas ordinances. The City of Issaquah is prepared to provide environmental review (including evaluation and regulation of environmentally sensitive areas.) Environmentally sensitive areas in and near to Klahanie would also be preserved with the proposed annexation.

- RCW 36.93 (Boundary Review Board Regulations) supports the proposed Klahanie Area Annexation to the City of Issaquah.

For example, this action would be consistent with Objective 1, which calls for the preservation of neighborhoods. The Klahanie Area Annexation will preserve an existing, well-defined neighborhood. This Area is linked to Issaquah by social fabric (e.g., similar land uses and open spaces, shared sense of community) and by natural/built geographic features (e.g., plateau terrain). King County has designated this area for urban development because of its location within the Urban Growth Area boundary. The County has also indicated a preference for immediate annexation of urban areas to provide for local governance and services.

In keeping with Objective 2, the proposed Klahanie Area Annexation shares physical boundaries with the City of Issaquah. The Klahanie Area is located on the northeastern edge of the City of Issaquah. The Klahanie Area is generally bordered on the west by the City of Issaquah and the City of Sammamish (Issaquah-Pine Lake Road). The Annexation Area is bordered on the east and the south by King County (Issaquah-Fall City Road; SE 48<sup>th</sup> Street.) The City of Sammamish borders the site on the north (SE 32<sup>nd</sup> Way.)

Although the Klahanie Area possesses a rather unusual configuration, the lands within these borders are specifically established for annexation by Issaquah under both the City of Issaquah Comprehensive Plan (approved by the State of Washington in 1995) and the City of Sammamish Comprehensive Plan (approved by the State of Washington in 1999.) Similarly a nearby peninsula is established for annexation by the City of Sammamish.

The Klahanie Area Annexation would also reportedly be consistent with Objective 3, which calls for creation of logical service areas. Service to the Klahanie Area would be improved by placing the entire area under a single municipal jurisdiction for provision of public services, including, police, fire, emergency aid, sewer, water, storm water management, and roads. Coordinated services should benefit the Klahanie Area.

Similarly, the Klahanie Area Annexation would be consistent with Objective 4 which calls for the prevention of abnormally irregular boundaries. The proposed boundaries of the Klahanie Area are not geometric in form; however, these boundaries do provide regularity based upon the fact that the borders of the Klahanie Area are consistent with the Issaquah Potential Annexation Area. Similarly, the Klahanie Area boundaries coincide with the established urban growth area boundary and with the duly approved incorporated boundaries of the City of Sammamish.

The proposed Klahanie Area Annexation reportedly achieves Objective 7, which calls for the achievement of practical boundaries for a jurisdiction. The present Klahanie Area is an “island” of unincorporated land. The Klahanie Area Annexation would create more reasonable and practical boundaries for governance and service.

Councilmember Butler reported that, with annexation to Issaquah, all services and land use regulations for the Klahanie Area may be efficiently coordinated under unified regulatory authorities administered by a single local government unit. Upon annexation, the City of Issaquah will include the Klahanie properties in its Service Area. The City has planned and can provide urban services to the area either directly or via agreements between the City and service providers. Services – including police service and road services – are expected to improve in the area following annexation. For example:

- Sammamish Plateau Water & Sewer District would continue to provide water and sewer services to the area.
- Eastside Fire and Rescue District will provide fire/emergency services to the Klahanie Area.
- The Issaquah Police Department would serve the Klahanie Area.
- Students would be served by the Issaquah School District.
- The King County Library and local/regional recreation facilities would be available to residents.

The Klahanie Area Annexation would also reportedly be consistent with Objective 8, which calls for inclusion of urban areas within municipalities. Annexation would permit citizens to affiliate with a local government and thus to participate in the local government process.

\*\*\*

Councilmember Butler, Mark Hinthorne, and Tom Nesbitt reported that an extensive Annexation Study has been conducted for the Klahanie Area. The Study particularly emphasized fiscal analyses (including an examination of benefits and costs) attendant upon governance and service of the Klahanie Area. City representatives provided a general overview of the Annexation Study.

The Annexation Study was reported to be based upon a plan to govern the Klahanie Area in a manner equivalent to the existing City of Issaquah. Klahanie Area residents would be provided services, infrastructure, and facilities at a basic level immediately upon incorporation. Improvements to levels of service would then occur over time as required to meet the needs of the community and as permitted by available resources. For example:

- Basic police services would be provided with the existing police staff. If service data indicates the need for additional officers or support staff, then the City would address those requirements with appropriate upgrades to service levels.
- Roadway improvements will be based upon requirements for safe, efficient travel. To wit: Issaquah plans for improvements to Issaquah-Fall City Road with funding from a variety of sources (e.g., King County Initiative funds, City funds, State of Washington funds, and funds from the Federal Government). Issaquah representatives recognize that – in order to maintain appropriate funding – City officials must meet due dates for design, engineering, and construction of improvements.

The Annexation Study indicates that, as is customary with residential area annexations, revenue realized from the Klahanie Area would be less than costs associated with governing the community. City officials acknowledged that a variety of necessary services and infrastructure improvements may be required to be funded from limited resources. At the present time, there is limited data available from which to precisely determine carrying capacity based upon immediate and long-term revenue/expenditures (e.g., Real Estate Excise Taxes) for general funds and for capital improvements for provision of appropriate levels of specific services, infrastructure (e.g., roadways, pavement), and public facilities.

City officials stated that while detailed fiscal data has not been provided for presentation to the public hearing before the Boundary Review Board, such data – to the extent that determination is feasible based upon currently available information – are presented in the Annexation Study which is entered into the Boundary Review Board record (Exhibit D).

The information available in the Annexation Study does provide reasonable assurance that existing and anticipated municipal funds, together with King County Initiative funds, will provide sufficient resources to assure service to the Klahanie Area in a manner that will address impacts on cost and adequacy of services, finances, debt structure, and rights of other governmental units.

The City has a demonstrated commitment to the provision of regional and local improvements. Similarly the citizens have expressed their commitment to – and confidence in – the City by providing funds for services and infrastructure by such actions as the approval of a \$3.5 million bond for roadways. The State of Washington has expressed similar confidence by allocating \$1.5 million to Issaquah for roadway construction/maintenance.

Issaquah representatives noted that, further, upon annexation, property owners will assume their share of the regular and special levy rate of the City for capital facilities and public services. With that assumption, nonetheless, it is estimated that taxpayers would benefit from a reduction of property tax levy rate.

City representatives stated that the jurisdiction believes that the Annexation Study supports the Klahanie Annexation. The City wishes to annex the Klahanie Area so that Issaquah may govern and serve the citizens.

OPPONENT PRESENTATION (JURISDICTION):

No jurisdiction came forward to oppose the Klahanie Area Annexation.

PRESENTATIONS BY GOVERNMENT JURISDICTIONS:

KING COUNTY: MICHAEL THOMAS, KING COUNTY EXECUTIVE – OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Michael Thomas, representing the King County Executive Office of Management and Budget, stated that King County officials support the City of Issaquah's proposal to annex the Klahanie Area. The County supports the City of Issaquah's commitment to annex the Klahanie Area based upon the following facts:

- The State Growth Management Act (RCW 36.70A) calls for local jurisdictions to govern urban lands. The Act supports timely incorporation of urban lands to local jurisdictions.
- The King County Comprehensive Plan/Countywide Planning Policies and the City of Issaquah Comprehensive Plan support annexation of Klahanie Area to Issaquah.
- The City of Issaquah has agreed to enter into an Interlocal Agreement with King County to govern, serve, and provide financial support as appropriate for the Klahanie Area.  
The City of Issaquah provides an established record as an entity capable of providing for zoning, development standards, and environmental protection appropriate to the area.
- The County has limited ability to provide efficient local services in urban unincorporated areas – particularly those areas which are “islands” and which have irregular boundaries that are difficult for providers to serve.
- RCW 36.93 (Boundary Review Board) criteria support annexation of Klahanie Area to Issaquah.

Thus, King County requests that the Boundary Review Board consider the request by the City of Issaquah to approve the Klahanie Area Annexation.

CITY OF SAMMAMISH: DONALD GEREND, MAYOR

Donald Gerend, Mayor of the City of Sammamish, reported that he is seeking support from the Boundary Review Board to provide an opportunity for the Klahanie Area citizens to choose annexation to the City of Sammamish.

Mayor Gerend stated that the Klahanie Area is, in fact, located within the Issaquah Potential Annexation Area. Discussions were conducted – but not successfully concluded -- between the City of Sammamish and the City of Issaquah for the purpose of considering the transfer of the Klahanie Area to the Sammamish Potential Annexation Area. Nonetheless, Sammamish remains interested in annexation of the Klahanie Area. The City is reportedly prepared to govern and serve the citizens of the Klahanie Area.

Mayor Gerend stated his opinion that several factors – based upon the law and the interest of the citizens – support annexation of the Klahanie Area to the City of Sammamish. More specifically, Mayor Gerend testified that:

- Annexation of the Klahanie Area to Issaquah would create a “haphazard extension of city boundaries.” RCW 36.93.010 calls for the Board to prevent “haphazard extension” of municipal boundaries.
- Annexation of the Klahanie Area to Sammamish would be consistent with several of the factors (RCW 36.93.170) and Objectives (RCW 36.93.180) which are the basis for decisions relating to annexations. For example, annexation of the Klahanie Area to Sammamish would be consistent with RCW 36.93.170 (3) because this action would address the mutual economic and social interests of the citizens of Klahanie.

Similarly, annexation to Sammamish would be consistent with RCW 36.93.180 because this action would consider the mutual social communities and common geographic boundaries existing between Klahanie and Sammamish. Further, annexation of Klahanie to Sammamish would address the fact that Klahanie receives services from the Sammamish Water and Sewer District.

Mayor Gerend concluded that, pursuant to RCW 36.93, the Boundary Review Board should:

- act directly to annex the Klahanie Area to the City of Sammamish; or,
- call for Issaquah to establish an election ballot that would permit citizens to choose to (1) remain in unincorporated King County; or (2) annex to Issaquah; or (3) annex to the City of Sammamish.

#### GENERAL TESTIMONY:

Eighteen persons testified – as individuals or as representatives of community groups -- with respect to the proposed Klahanie Area Annexation.

Sixteen speakers testified as to their support for annexation to Issaquah. Testimony focused upon a community planning process (launched several years ago) to determine the community's interest in a future governance system. Testimony reported that – through a variety of programs (e.g., data collection, information exchange, public meetings, community surveys) – citizens determined a preference for annexation to Issaquah.

Several persons testified as to the reasons for their preference to annex to Issaquah, including, but not limited to: a sense of community affiliation with Issaquah; geographic proximity to Issaquah; and demonstrated capacity of the City of Issaquah to provide public services and facilities to Klahanie Area.

Two speakers reported that both Issaquah and Sammamish would be appropriate jurisdictions to govern the Klahanie Area. Similarly, the speakers testified that both Issaquah and Sammamish had both the capacity and financial resources to serve the Klahanie Area. These speakers supported a plan whereby citizens would be permitted to choose annexation to Issaquah or to Sammamish.

REBUTTAL: Fred Butler: City of Issaquah, Mayor Pro Tem, Councilmember,

In conclusion, Councilmember Butler stated that, based upon the will of the Klahanie Area citizens, the Annexation Study, and the Resolution (Interlocal Agreement with King County) developed pursuant to RCW 35A.14, the City proposes annexation of the Klahanie Area.

In the event that the Boundary Review Board approves the proposed Klahanie Area Annexation, the City will provide for citizens of the Klahanie Area to formally voice their views concerning annexation through an election. Citizens may choose to join the City of Issaquah or to remain under the jurisdiction of King County.

#### **IV. DETERMINATION OF THE STATUS OF THE PUBLIC HEARING**

At the completion of public testimony at the June 01, 2005 Special Meeting/Public Hearing for the Klahanie Area Annexation, Chair Tessandore instructed the Board with respect to procedures for closure (or continuation) of the public hearing. She reported that the Board could choose to continue the hearing to obtain more information, to close the public hearing while holding open the record for additional information, or to close the hearing and deliberate for a decision on the proposed action.

\*\*\*

Roger Loschen moved and Charles Booth seconded a motion that the Boundary Review Board close the public hearing in the matter of the proposed annexation of the Klahanie Area (1242 acres) into the City of Issaquah. Eight members voted in favor of the motion (Charles Booth, Angela Brooks, Robert Cook, Jim Denton, Claudia Hirschey, Roger Loschen, Michael Marchand, Judy Tessandore). There was one vote (Lynn Guttman) opposing the motion.

\*\*\*

Chair Tessandore invited the Board members to begin deliberations to consider annexation of the proposed Klahanie Area Annexation.

Roger Loschen moved and Charles Booth seconded a motion that the Boundary Review Board begin deliberations to consider the annexation into the City of Issaquah of the Klahanie Area at approximately 1242 acres. The Board voted eight in favor of the motion (Charles Booth, Angela Brooks, Robert Cook, Jim Denton, Claudia Hirschey, Roger Loschen, Michael Marchand, Judy Tessandore). There was one abstention (Lynn Guttman).

\*\*\*

Chair Tessandore invited the Board members to enter into deliberations. Board members offered the following comments:

- City of Issaquah representatives have provided to the Board both written documentation and testimony sufficient for the Board to determine whether the City of Issaquah proposal for the Klahanie Area is consistent with the authorities and criteria established in RCW 36.93, et al.
- King County representatives have also provided to the Board both written and oral testimony describing support for the Klahanie Area Annexation based upon the King County Comprehensive Plan/Countywide Planning Policies.
- Citizen testimony has substantially supported the proposed Klahanie Area Annexation into the City of Issaquah.

In the course of discussion concerning the options for annexation of the Klahanie Area into the City of Issaquah, the Board members generally concurred that: annexation of the Klahanie Area would be consistent with the statutory authority of the Boundary Review Board (RCW 36.93 – the Board’s Enabling Act.)

More specifically, the Board finds the Klahanie Area annexation to be consistent with RCW 36.93.170, including (1) Population and Territory; (2) Municipal Services; and (3) Mutual Social and Economic Factors.

Additionally, RCW 36.93.180 (Objectives) are addressed as follows:

<b>RCW 36.93.180</b>	<b>KLAHANIE AREA (1242 ACRES)</b>
OBJECTIVE 1 – PRESERVATION NATURAL NEIGHBORHOODS AND COMMUNITIES	ADVANCES CRITERION AS ANNEXATION INCLUDES ALL PROPERTIES IN A NATURAL COMMUNITY
OBJECTIVE 2 – USE OF PHYSICAL BOUNDARIES (E.G., BODIES OF WATER, HIGHWAYS, AND LAND CONTOURS	ADVANCES CRITERION AS IT COINCIDES WITH ESTABLISHED COMPREHENSIVE PLAN BOUNDARIES
OBJECTIVE 3 – CREATION AND PRESERVATION OF LOGICAL SERVICE AREAS	ADVANCES CRITERION AS ISSAQUAH CAN SERVE ENTIRE AREA TO PROTECT PUBLIC WELFARE.
OBJECTIVE 4 – PREVENTION OF ABNORMALLY IRREGULAR BOUNDARIES	ADVANCES CRITERION AS REGULAR BOUNDARIES SUPPORT A UNIFIED COMMUNITY AND STREAMLINE SERVICE PROVISION
OBJECTIVE 5 – DISCOURAGEMENT OF MULTIPLE INCORPORATIONS	DOES NOT APPLY
OBJECTIVE 6 – DISSOLUTION OF INACTIVE SPECIAL PURPOSE DISTRICTS	DOES NOT APPLY
OBJECTIVE 7 – ADJUSTMENT OF IMPRACTICAL BOUNDARIES	ADVANCES CRITERION AS PRACTICAL BOUNDARIES ARE CREATED TO SUPPORT A UNIFIED COMMUNITY AND STREAMLINE SERVICE PROVISION
OBJECTIVE 8 – INCORPORATION AS CITIES OR ANNEXATION OF CITIES OF UNINCORPORATED AREAS WHICH ARE URBAN IN CHARACTER	ADVANCES CRITERION AS THE ENTIRE DESIGNATED URBAN AREA WILL BE INCORPORATED INTO A LOCAL JURISDICTION.
OBJECTIVE 9 – PROTECTION OF AGRICULTURAL AND RURAL LANDS	DOES NOT APPLY



The Board is also required to consider RCW 36.70A (State Growth Management Act); the King County Comprehensive Plan; and statutes of the local jurisdiction – in this case the City of Issaquah. Under State Growth Management Act guidelines, King County has designated the entire Klahanie Area as an Urban Growth Area. The City of Issaquah has confirmed this urban designation for the Klahanie Area in its Comprehensive Plan. Issaquah officials have stated that the City is willing to undertake annexation in order to govern and serve the Klahanie Area.

\*\*\*

At the close of discussion, Chair Tessandore called for a motion for a decision with respect to the Klahanie Area Annexation (1242 acres).

Roger Loschen moved and Jim Denton seconded a motion that the Boundary Review Board approve the annexation to the City of Issaquah of the Klahanie Area (1242 acres) and direct staff to prepare Resolution and Hearing Decision for presentation to the Boundary Review Board. The Resolution and Hearing Decision shall be presented to the Boundary Review Board for final action in July, 2005.

The motion was adopted by a vote of eight in favor and one abstention. Voting in favor were: Charles Booth, Angela Brooks, Robert Cook, Jim Denton, Claudia Hirschey, Roger Loschen, Michael Marchand, and Judy Tessandore. Lynn Guttman abstained.

## **VI. ADJOURNMENT**

- Charles Booth moved and Jim Denton seconded a motion to adjourn the Special Meeting/Public Hearing of June 01, 2005. The motion passed by unanimous vote. Chair Tessandore adjourned the meeting at 10:15 PM.